



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/904,906 07/16/2001 Takeshi Fukada 740756-2332 4431 22204 7590 07/30/2003 NIXON PEABODY, LLP **EXAMINER** 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE MALSAWMA, LALRINFAMKIM HMAR SUITE 800 MCLEAN, VA 22102 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2825 DATE MAILED: 07/30/2003

D.115 M.11555. 07/30/200

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary Examiner | | | | JAN | / | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| ## Examiner Lex Maisswms 2825 ## Art Unit Lex Maisswms 2825 ## Art Unit Lex Maisswms 2825 ## Art Unit Lex Maisswms 2825 ## A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ## A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ## A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ## A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ## A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ## A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ## A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS SET OF TH | | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
| Lex Milstawma 2825 | | | 09/904,906 | FUKADA ET AL. | | |
| The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ② MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions for many be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.73(6), in no event, however, may a reply be limely filed ### the period for maly specified above is less than filty (80) days, a way when he a stablery minimum of thinly (80) days will be considered finely. ### the period for maly specified above is less than filty (80) days, a way when he a stablery minimum of thinly (80) days will be considered finely. ### the period for maly specified above is the maling date of the communication of become ### the period for maly which he set or extended period for fragiv will, by stable, cause the application to become ### the period for maly subject to the communication of the period of the product of t | | | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - ill no period for rely is specified shown, be maintained with the process of the period of the | | | | L | | |
| THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extractions of time may be available under the provision of 30°CR 1.13(6). In no event, however, may a reply be timely field after \$30.(8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Private \$30.00 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, and the private of the | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 May 2003 . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3.) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5.) Claim(s) 1-20 Is/are allowed. 6.) Claim(s) 1-20 Is/are allowed. 7.) Claim(s) 1-20 Is/are objected. 7.) Claim(s) 1-20 Is/are objected to. 8.) Claim(s) 1-20 Is/are objected to. 8.) Claim(s) 1-20 Is/are objected to. 8.) Claim(s) 1-20 Is/are objected to. 9.) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10. The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9.) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10. The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12. The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311.275. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translati | THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 20 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 20 is/are rejected to. 8) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311,275. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 Notice of References Clied (PTO-892) 11 Notice of References Clied (PTO-149) Paper No(s) | | n(s) filed on 19 A | May 2003 . | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311.275. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 11 ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ☐ Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-948) 31 ☐ Information Discosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| A) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filled on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filled on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * o) □ None of: 1 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311.275. 3 □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 19 (20 nad/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-948) 5) □ Notice of Information Disclation (PTO-152) 9) □ Other: | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/311,275</u> . 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 ◯ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 21 ◯ Notice of Oratsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 31 ◯ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | • | | | | |
| 5 | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending | in the application | | | | |
| 6 ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311,275. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | |
| 7 | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | |
| 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/ace: a) accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b Some * c None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311,275. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 11 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 22 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 31 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311,275. 3 ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311.275. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311,275. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 6) Other: | 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311,275. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 July 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311,275. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/311,275</u> . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Pafsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311,275. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: | If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311,275. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311,275. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 08/311,275. 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/311,275</u> . 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Professon's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) ☐ Other: | a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. <u>08/311,275</u> . | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: | application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other: | 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| | 2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re | | 5) Notice of Informal F | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/904,906

Art Unit: 2825

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the

claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c)

and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-8 and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Ohta et al. (5,192,644, hereinafter, "Ohta") in view of Gladfelter et al. (4,832,986, hereinafter,

"Gladfelter").

Regarding Claims 1-8 and 17-20:

Ohta discloses a substrate assembly comprising:

a glass substrate 1 (Fig. 1); and

a insulating film 2a provided over said substrate and comprising aluminum nitride (Fig. 1

and col. 3, lines 40-44).

Art Unit: 2825

Ohta lacks specifically disclosing that the aluminum nitride film comprises oxygen; however, note Ohta specifies that the aluminum nitride film is formed by a CVD process. Gladfelter teaches that an aluminum nitride film formed by a CVD process will comprise oxygen at a concentration of about 2 atomic weight percent (note col. 5, lines 64 to col. 6, line 23, especially col. 6, lines 15-16). It is important to note Gladfelter discloses that oxygen is "always present" in the aluminum nitride film deposited by CVD (note col. 5, lines 67-68; and col. 6, line 22). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ohta by specifically reciting that the aluminum nitride film comprises oxygen and that the substrate is used for a display because Gladfelter teaches that an aluminum nitride film formed by CVD (as in the case disclosed by Ohta) will comprise oxygen, i.e., given Gladfelter's teaching, one of ordinary skill in the art would have realized that Ohta's aluminum nitride film would comprise oxygen, even if a dedicated process is performed to specifically reduce/remove oxygen from the aluminum nitride film (note Gladelter, col. 6, lines 14-24). Note that Gladfelter discloses (in col. 1, lines 5-13) it was generally well known in the art to utilize an aluminum-nitride substrate assembly for optical or optoelectronic devices, therefore, specifically utilizing the substrate assembly for a display is also held obvious over the cited references.

4. Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ohta** (in view of **Gladfelter**) as applied to Claims 1-4, and further in view of **Knudsen** (5,283,214).

Regarding Claim 9-12:

Ohta (in view of Gladfelter) lacks the aluminum nitride film having a thermal conductivity of 200 W/mK or more. Knudsen is cited primarily to show that incorporating an

Art Unit: 2825

aluminum nitride film having a thermal conductivity of 200 W/mK or more is common in the art. Knudsen discloses the removal of heat from critical circuit components through the circuit substrate is directly dependent on the thermal conductivity of the substrate, and as circuit densities increase, efficient thermal management becomes increasingly important (note col. 1, lines 6-11). Knudsen discloses the theoretical value for thermal conductivity of aluminum nitride is 320 W/mK (col. 2, lines 37-38) and thermal conductivities in the range of 200-250 W/mK have been reported/acquired (col. 2, lines 22, 35, and 51). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Ohta by specifying a thermal conductivity of 200 W/mK or more because Knudsen teaches it is desirable to increase the thermal conductivity of an aluminum nitride film to a level approaching its theoretical value (320 W/mK) such that efficient thermal management can be achieved even as circuit densities increase, and Knudsen shows that thermal conductivities of 200 W/mK or more have been achieved.

5. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Ohta** (in view of **Gladfelter**) as applied to Claims 1-4, and further in view of Fujita et al. (5,042,917; hereinafter, "**Fujita**").

Regarding Claims 13-16:

Ohta (in view of Gladfelter) lacks the aluminum nitride film having a thickness of 500 Å to 3µm. Note that Ohta discloses a thickness of about 330-345 Å when the film is specifically utilized in an optical memory device (col. 4, lines 60-62). Fujita teaches a substrate assembly, comprising an aluminum nitride film 5 (col. 7, lines 1-15), used specifically for a display, wherein the film 5 has a thickness of about 1800 Å. It would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art to modify Ohta by incorporating a thickness of 500 Å to 3μm because such a modification to the substrate assembly would provide a suitable substrate for a display.

Remarks

6. Applicants' remarks/arguments have been carefully reviewed and they are generally persuasive primarily because the applied references do not fairly suggest a film provided over a substrate and comprising aluminum nitride and oxygen. Accordingly, the previous rejections have been withdrawn; however, new references have been cited and all pending claims stand rejected.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The references listed on the attached "Notice of References Cited" (not specifically cited above) have been cited to show aluminum-nitride films comprising oxygen similar to the aluminum-nitride film of the current invention.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lex Malsawma whose telephone number is 703-306-5986.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on 703-308-1323. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 2825

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Lex Malsawma 9019

adisawiiia , v

July 17, 2003

MATTHEW SMITH SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

M. S.